1. Prefers CS dev, due to green space
2. Who will maintain the increased green space?
4. Who will police green space?
5. How can you "require" 50%, take property?
6. Who sets rules for use of green space?
7. The Kenton County Conservancy manages existing conservation properties in Kenton County
8. Who pays for maintenance of green space?
9. Will city or takes increase for these desired changes?
10. Rep. 2, 4, 8, 9. The Kenton County Conservancy is a 501(c)3 not for profit funded by private donations.
11. Definitely opposed to the development on S side of McCullom Rd. connecting with Chateau in Hartland Sub. Lower prop values, increased traffic.
12. Fear of development that is going to jeopardize property value.
   Privacy is a concern.
13. If people wanted developers would buy land to sell.
14. CS should be optional, decided later upon buyer need.
15. Already have a problem getting the grass moved around the new 17. Fix the current problems.
16. Love the idea of a Conservation Subdivision- Good work!
17. We do not need this small area study—Independence has survived all these years without it. We don't want to be the 1% in this experiment.
18. Small area study should be optional, not mandatory.
19. Don't need more commercial dev. Well what we have.
20. Concentration & studies should be done on Rt. 536.
21. Downtown can't be revived by Mom & Pop stores. Yes, everyone smiles and says it's nice and then drive on to Walmart.
22. Developers won't purchase property in mandated conservation area.

23. Sorry, I thought Ky was still part of the U.S.A. Where "owning" land means you have options. Rather to sell or buy land to use as green space (conservation) or traditional should be up to the landowner and not a "Committee".

24. I want to know what the real hidden agenda is!

25. I don't understand this interest on McCollum Rd. There are lots of properties better for conservation areas.

26. Treat us like the rest of residents of Independence.

27. NRAPC and the Update Committee need to be tarred and feathered and run out of town.

28. The expectations for our property has the potential to be diminished by your intrusion.

29. Hell No to the subdivisions. Let's not lower property values.